RESPONSE TO BBFAW CONSULTATION 2022

Dates for Feedback

The consultation will run from **24th January 2023** to **14th February 2023**.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name |  |
| Role |  |
| Organisation |  |
| Email address |  |

Proposals for the 2022 Benchmark

**Please note:** It is not necessary to respond to all questions; select those that are relevant.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. | Do you agree with the changes to the companies to be included in the 2022 Benchmark? |
|  | |
| 2. | Are there specific companies or regions that you think should be considered for future Benchmark iterations? |
|  | |
| 3. | Do you agree with the proposal to group the questions into the five pillars described? |
|  | |
| 4. | Do you agree with the proposed weightings for the five pillars? |
|  | |
| 5. | Do you have any further comments you would like to share on how the criteria are weighted in the Benchmark? |
|  | |
| 6. | Do you agree with the proposal to add new questions on whether companies have commitments: to ending the use of high-performance breeds; to not produce or sell foie gras; and to ending the use of other inhumane practices? |
|  | |
| 7. | Do you agree with the proposal to expand the question on whether companies have a clear commitment to ending the prophylactic use of antibiotics to also cover metaphylactic use? |
|  | |
| 8. | Do you agree with the proposal to add a new sub-question on whether companies describe how they use welfare outcome measures to inform continuous improvement in their operations or supply chain? |
|  | |
| 9. | Do you agree with the proposal to add new questions on whether companies have clear, time-bound targets for specific welfare improvements for laying hens, broiler chickens, pigs and dairy cows? |
|  | |
| 10. | Do you agree with the proposal to add new species-specific Performance Impact questions, covering beef cattle and farmed salmon, in addition to laying hens, broiler chickens, pigs and dairy cattle (resulting in three questions per species)? |
|  | |
| 11. | Do you agree with the proposal to adjust the scoring of the Performance Impact questions to make the points scale exponential rather than linear (i.e., awarding fewer points for lower levels of performance)? |
|  | |
| 12. | Do you agree with the proposal to introduce the eight new questions on reducing reliance on animal-sourced foods? |
|  | |
| 13. | Do you agree with the proposal to remove the eleven questions that previously featured as part of the assessment criteria? |
|  | |
| 14. | Do you have any comments on any further changes to the assessment criteria beyond those summarised above? |
|  | |
| 15. | Are there any other changes or amendments to the Benchmark assessment criteria you would like to propose? |
|  | |
| 16. | Do you have suggestions for how to communicate the 2022 benchmark results whilst avoiding drawing comparison between company scores under the 2021 and 2022 assessment criteria? |
|  | |
| 17. | Do you have any comments on the option of not publishing a named ranking of companies following the 2022 company assessments, in order to provide time for companies to understand how they perform under the new assessment criteria? |
|  | |
| 18. | Do you have any wider reflections on how the Benchmark might be developed over time? |
|  | |

Thank you for taking the time to respond. Please send your comments to Basia Romanowicz at the BBFAW Secretariat: [secretariat@bbfaw.com](mailto:secretariat@bbfaw.com)