**RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION ON 2019 BBFAW BENCHMARK**

MAY/JUNE 2019

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Name |  |
| Role |  |
| Organisation |  |
| E-mail  address |  |

Please Note: It is not necessary to respond to all questions; select those that are relevant.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. | Do you agree with the changes to the companies to be included in the 2019 Benchmark? |
|  | |
| 2. | Are there specific companies that you think should be included or excluded from the universe of companies to be covered by the Benchmark in 2019? |
|  | |
| 3. | Are there specific companies or regions that you think should be considered for future Benchmark iterations? |
|  | |
| 4. | Do you agree with the proposal to adjust the weighting of the performance impact questions in 2019? |
|  | |
| 5. | Do you have any specific comments you would like to share on how the criteria is weighted in the Benchmark? |
|  | |
| 6. | Do you agree with the proposal to modify Q9 on routine mutilations? |
|  | |
| 7. | Do you agree with the proposals to delete part of Q13 (relating to progress against policy) and to delete Q18 (relating to awards) and Q20 relating to non-animal derived protein? |
|  | |
| 8. | Do you agree with the proposal to add 5 new questions (on environmental enrichment, on pre-slaughter stunning, on chicken breeds)? |
|  | |
| 9. | Do you agree with the proposal to exclude from the overall score the points awarded for each of the five new questions in 2019, to allow companies time to adjust to the new criteria? |
|  | |
| 10. | Are there any other changes or amendments to the Benchmark assessment criteria you would like to propose? |
|  | |
| 11. | Do you have any wider reflections on how the Benchmark might be developed over time? |
|  | |

Thank you for taking the time to respond.